

Distribution of Normalized Zero-Sets of Random Entire Functions with Small Random Perturbation

Weihong Yao

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University,
Shanghai 200240, P. R. China,
E-Mail: whyao@sjtu.edu.cn

Abstract: In this paper, we extend our earlier result (see [Y-2008]) on the distribution of normalized zero-sets of random entire functions to random entire functions with small random perturbation.

Key words: Hermitian holomorphic line bundles, random entire functions, Poincaré-Lelong formula, counting function

1 Introduction

The well-known *fundamental theorem of algebra* states that for every complex polynomial P , the degree of P is equal to the number of zeros of P on the complex plane, counting multiplicities. This suggests that one can use the counting functions (the number of zeros) to measure the growth of P (i.e. the degree of P). In 1929, Nevanlinna extended the polynomial theory to meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ (which can be viewed as holomorphic maps $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$), in which case the growth function of f is given by its characteristic function $T_f(r)$ for $|z| < r$. Geometrically, $T_f(r)$ is determined by the area of the image of $f(\Delta(r))$ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the Fubini-Study metric. Similar to the polynomials case, Nevanlinna proved that for almost all $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$, $N_f(r, a) = T_f(r) + O(1)$ (or more precisely the integral average of $N_f(r, a)$ with respect to a is $T_f(r)$). The result of this type is called the *First Main Theorem of Nevanlinna*. Furthermore, Nevanlinna obtained a much deeper result (called the *Second Main Theorem of Nevanlinna*) which states that the sum of the difference $T_f(r) - N_f(r, a_j)$, for any distinct $a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, cannot exceed

$(2 + \epsilon)T_f(r)$ for all $r \in (0, +\infty)$ except for a set of finite measure. The theory is now known as *Nevanlinna theory* or *value distribution theory*. Nevanlinna's theory was later extended by H. Cartan and L. Ahlfors to holomorphic curves.

The proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra comes from the following observation: when we write $P(z) = a_n z^n + Q_{n-1}(z)$, where $n = \deg P$, then $|Q_{n-1}(z)| < |a_n z^n|$ on $|z| = r$ for r large enough, hence Rouché's theorem implies that the zeros of P is the same as the zeros of $a_n z^n$. In other words, $P(z)$ can be obtained from $a_n z^n$ through a small perturbation by Q_{n-1} . Similarly, one can easily prove that the growth (characteristic function) of f is the same as $f + g$, the function obtained by small perturbation by g . (Here, by small perturbations we mean $T_g(r) = o(T_f(r))$). Problems of these types are called *small perturbation problems* or called *problems of slowly moving targets*. In 1983, Steinmetz successfully extended Nevanlinna's SMT to slowly moving targets, and in 1990, Ru-Stoll extended H. Cartan's theorem to slowly moving targets as well.

Recently, Shiffman and Zelditch, in their series of papers, initiated the study of random value distribution theory. The theory is based on the following fundamental result of Hammersley: *the zeros of random complex "Kac" polynomials $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j z^j$ (where the coefficients a_j are independent complex Gaussian random variables of mean 0 and variance 1) tend to concentrate evenly about the unit circle as the degree N goes to the infinity*. Shiffman and Zelditch extended the result to random polynomials of several complex variables, as well as random holomorphic sections of line bundles. Their method however largely relies on the use of kernel functions, thus the strong "orthonormal conditions" are unavoidable.

In place of using kernel functions we propose a direct method to study the value distribution of random meromorphic functions (or maps). This method starts with the computation of mathematical expectations in the form of closed positive (1,1)-currents defined by normalized counting divisors, and is applicable to the much broader context of random holomorphic functions and more generally random meromorphic functions (maps). As a first step in this direction the author studied in [Y-2008] the distribution of the normalized zero-sets of random holomorphic functions

$$G_n(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \sum_{j_1=1}^{\ell} \cdots \sum_{j_{\nu}=1}^{\ell} a_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu}} f_{j_1}(z) \cdots f_{j_{\nu}}(z),$$

where $f_1(z), \dots, f_\ell(z)$ are fixed holomorphic functions on a domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$, and coefficients $a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}$ are independent complex Gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. More precisely, we studied the mean (mathematical expectation) $\mathbf{Z}(r, G_n)$ of the normalized counting divisor $\mathbf{Z}(r, G_n)$ of $G_n(z)$ on the punctured disk $0 < |z| < r$ (in the sense of distribution) which is given by

$$\mathbf{Z}(r, G_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{G_n(z)=0, \\ 0 < |z| < r}} \left(\log \frac{r}{|z|} \right) \delta_z,$$

where δ_z is the Dirac function.

We obtained the following result in [Y-2008].

Theorem A. *Let C be the smooth (possibly non-closed) curve in $\mathbb{C}$ consisting of all the points z such that $|f(z)| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$ and $f'(z) = (f'_1(z), \dots, f'_\ell(z)) \neq 0$. Then the limit of $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Z}(r, G_n))$ is equal to, in the sense of distribution, $\log \frac{r}{|z|}$ times the sum of*

$$|f(z)| \Xi(|f(z)|) \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |f(z)|$$

and the measure on C defined by the 1-form

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(f_j(z) d\overline{f_j(z)} - \overline{f_j(z)} df_j(z) \right),$$

where

$$\Xi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{x} & \text{when } x > 1 \\ 1 & \text{when } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{when } x < 1. \end{cases}$$

When $\ell = 1$ and $f_1(z) = z$, our theorem recovers the result of Hammersley.

Theorem A can also be interpreted as an analogue of Nevanlinna's First Main Theorem, which states that the integral average of the counting function is equal to the growth (characteristic) function of f . We also note that the approach used in [Y-2008] is very different from the method of Shiffman-Zelditch. In place of the use of sophisticated results on kernel functions, we carry out a direct computation, which basically comes down to the computation of the following limit (see "Complex Version of Lemma on the Convergence of integrals as Distributions"), for $w \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\sum_{j=0}^n |w|^{2j} \right) \right),$$

in the sense of distribution. In this paper, we extend Theorem A to the random entire functions with small perturbation, similar to the moving target case in Nevanlinna's theory by an adaptation of the method and techniques of [Y-2008]. The main result of this paper is as follows:

Main Theorem. Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$, ℓ be a positive integer and $f_1(z), \dots, f_\ell(z)$ be holomorphic functions on Ω . For any $z_0 \in \Omega$, let

$$\kappa_{z_0,n}, \lambda_{z_0,n}, \xi_{z_0,n}, \eta_{z_0,n} ,$$

be four sequences of non-negative integers, $0 \leq n < \infty$. Assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\kappa_{z_0,n}}{n} = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{z_0,n}}{n} = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_{z_0,n}}{n} = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_{z_0,n}}{n} = 0 .$$

Let A_z and B_z be positive functions on Ω . For any nonnegative integer j , let $g_j(z)$ be a holomorphic function on Ω such that

- (i) $g_0(z)$ is nowhere zero on Ω ,
- (ii) for each $0 \leq j < \infty$ and each point $j \in \Omega$

$$|g_j(z)| \leq (B_z)^{\kappa_{z,j}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{\lambda_{z,j}} ,$$

- (iii) for each point $z \in \Omega$

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} (A_z)^{\xi_{z,j}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{\eta_{z,j}} |g_j(z)|$$

is positive.

Suppose furthermore that for each compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ there exists a positive constant C_K such that for each $z \in K$ and for each nonnegative integer j we have

$$B_z \leq C_K; \quad \kappa_{z,j}, \lambda_{z,j} \leq C_K$$

For any positive integer n let

$$G_n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq \nu_1, \dots, \nu_j \leq \ell} a_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j} g_j(z) f_{\nu_1}(z) \cdots f_{\nu_j}(z)$$

be a random polynomial, where each coefficient $a_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j}$ for $1 \leq \nu_1, \dots, \nu_j \leq \ell$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$ is an indeterminate which satisfies the Gaussian distribution

$$\frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|z|^2}$$

on $\mathbb{C}$, with the convention that a_0 is the single indeterminate $a_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j}$, when $j = 0$. Let $\mathbf{Z}(G_n)$ be the *normalized counting divisor* of $G_n(z)$ on Ω (in the sense of distribution) given by

$$\mathbf{Z}(G_n) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n} \sum_{\substack{G_n(z)=0, \\ z \in \Omega}} \delta_z ,$$

where δ_z is the Dirac delta on $\mathbb{C}$ at the point z of $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Z}(G_n))$ be the *expectation* of $\mathbf{Z}(G_n)$ which is defined by

$$\int_{(a_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{G_n(z)=0, \\ z \in \Omega}} \delta_z \right) \prod_{(a_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|a_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j}|^2} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} da_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j} \wedge \overline{da_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j}} \right) ,$$

where $N_{\ell, n} = \frac{\ell^{n+1}-1}{\ell-1}$ is the number of indeterminates $a_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq \nu_1, \dots, \nu_j \leq \ell$. Let C be the smooth (possibly non-closed) curve in Ω consisting of all the points z of Ω such that $|f(z)| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$ and $f'(z) = (f'_1(z), \dots, f'_\ell(z)) \neq 0$. Then $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Z}(G_n))$ is equal to the sum of

$$|f(z)| \Xi(|f(z)|) \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |f(z)|$$

and the measure on C defined by the 1-form

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(f_j(z) \overline{df_j(z)} - \overline{f_j(z)} df_j(z) \right) ,$$

where

$$\Xi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{x} & \text{when } x > 1 \\ 1 & \text{when } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{when } x < 1 . \end{cases}$$

Remarks In the formulation of the Main Theorem, by (iii) we impose at each point $z \in \Omega$ an asymptotic pointwise condition on a lower bound on $|g_j(z)|$. It is natural to impose a condition in terms of $\liminf$, given that we want to allow the holomorphic functions g_j to have zeros. On the other hand, in the computation of mathematical expectations of normalized counting divisors, some uniformity on compact subsets is required on asymptotic upper bounds on $|g_j(z)|$ in order to prove convergence of positive $(1,1)$ -currents.

2 Proof of the Main Theorem

We first recall the following key lemmas in [Y-2008].

(2.1) **Proposition [Y-2008] (Complex Version of Lemma on the Convergence of Integrals as Distributions).**

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\sum_{j=0}^n |z|^{2j} \right) \right) \\ &= r \Xi(r) \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log r + [\delta_{S^{2\ell-1}}] \wedge \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (z_j d\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_j dz_j), \end{aligned}$$

is true, where $[\delta_{S^{2\ell-1}}]$ denotes the 1-current on $\mathbb{C}^\ell$ defined by integration over

$$S^{2\ell-1} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^\ell \left| |z| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |z_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 \right. \right\}.$$

By pulling back through $f(z) = (f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_\ell(z)) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\ell$, the above proposition implies

(2.2) **Proposition [Y-2008].** *Let Ω be a connected open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $f(z) = (f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_\ell(z)) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\ell$ be a nonconstant holomorphic function on Ω . Let C be the smooth (possibly non-closed) curve in Ω consisting of all the points z of Ω such that $|f(z)| = 1$ and $f'(z) = (f'_1(z), f'_2(z), \dots, f'_\ell(z)) \neq 0$, where $|f(z)| = (|f_1(z)|^2 + |f_2(z)|^2 + \dots + |f_\ell(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then the following*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\sum_{j=0}^n |f(z)|^{2j} \right) \right) = |f(z)| \Xi(|f(z)|) \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |f(z)| \\ &+ [\delta_{S^{2\ell-1}}] \wedge \frac{1}{|f(z)|^2} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (f_j(z) d\bar{f}_j(z) - \bar{f}_j(z) df_j(z)), \end{aligned}$$

is true, where $[\delta_{S^{2\ell-1}}]$ denotes the 1-current on $\mathbb{C}^\ell$ defined by integration over

$$S^{2\ell-1} = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell \left| |f(z)| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 \right. \right\}.$$

(2.3) For the proof of the Main Theorem we will need to formulate a lemma on limits of certain potential functions. To start with define on the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ the following subharmonic functions

$$\gamma_n = \frac{1}{n} \log(1 + |f|^2 + \dots + |f|^{2n}).$$

Define $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(z) = \log |f(z)|^2 & \text{if } |f(z)| \geq 1 \\ \varphi(z) = 0 & \text{if } |f(z)| \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

In other words, $\varphi(z) = \max(0, \log |f|^2) = \log^+ |f|^2$. Then, we have

Lemma 1 $\gamma_n(z)$ converges uniformly to $\varphi(z)$ on Ω . As a consequence, $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\gamma_n$ converges to $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ as positive $(1, 1)$ -currents on Ω .

Proof. For each positive integer n define $\lambda_n : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\lambda_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \log(1 + t + \cdots + t^n).$$

For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ we have

$$0 \leq \lambda_n(t) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(n+1).$$

On the other hand, for $t \geq 1$ we have

$$\log t = \frac{1}{n} \log(t^n) \leq \lambda_n(t) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log((n+1)t^n) = \frac{1}{n} \log(n+1) + \log t.$$

Let $\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the monotonically increasing continuous function defined by

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(t) = \log t & \text{for } t \geq 1; \\ \lambda(t) = 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\lambda(t) \leq \lambda_n(t) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(n+1) + \lambda(t).$$

Thus, over $[0, \infty)$, $\lambda_n(t)$ converges uniformly to $\lambda(t)$. For the map $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\gamma_n = \frac{1}{n} \log(1 + |f|^2 + \cdots + |f|^{2n}) = \lambda_n(|f|^2),$$

so that γ_n converges uniformly to $\lambda(|f|^2) = \varphi$, and it follows that $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\gamma_n$ converges to $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ as positive $(1, 1)$ -currents on Ω , as desired. $\square$

(2.4) We proceed to give a proof of the Main Theorem.

Proof. In the language of probability theory,

$$(a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu})_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \ell, \dots, 1 \leq j_\nu \leq \ell}$$

are independent complex Gaussian random variables of mean 0 and variance 1. Let $N_{\ell, n}$ be the number of elements in

$$(a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu})_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \ell, \dots, 1 \leq j_\nu \leq \ell},$$

which is

$$N_{\ell,n} = 1 + \ell + \ell^2 + \cdots + \ell^n.$$

Let a_0 be the single indeterminate $a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}$ when $\nu = 0$. By Cauchy's integral formula (or the Poincaré-Lelong formula)

$$(*) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{G_n(z)=0, \\ z \in \Omega}} \delta_z = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |G_n(z)|$$

on Ω , where δ_z is the Dirac delta on $\mathbb{C}^\ell$ at the point z of $\mathbb{C}^\ell$. We now consider the normalized counting divisor $\mathbf{Z}(G_n)$ of $G_n(z)$ on Ω (in the sense of distribution) which is given by

$$\mathbf{Z}(G_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{G_n(z)=0, \\ z \in \Omega}} \delta_z.$$

By (*), the expectation $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Z}(G_n))$ of $\mathbf{Z}(G_n)$ is equal to

$$\int_{(a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell,n}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |G_n(z)| \right) \times \prod_{(a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell,n}}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}|^2} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} da_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu} \wedge d\overline{a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}} \right).$$

We introduce two column vectors

$$\vec{\mathbf{a}} = [a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}]_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \ell, \dots, 1 \leq j_\nu \leq \ell}$$

and

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z) = [g_\nu(z) f_{j_1}(z) \cdots f_{j_\nu}(z)]_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \ell, \dots, 1 \leq j_\nu \leq \ell}$$

of $N_{\ell,n}$ components each. Here we set $f_0(z) = 1$. Then $G_n(z)$ is equal to the inner product

$$\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}(z) \rangle = \sum_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \ell, \dots, 1 \leq j_\nu \leq \ell} a_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu} g_\nu(z) f_{j_1}(z) \cdots f_{j_\nu}(z)$$

of the two $N_{\ell,n}$ -vectors $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)$. The length of the $N_{\ell,n}$ -vector $\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)$ is given by

$$\|\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)\| = \left(\sum_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \ell, \dots, 1 \leq j_\nu \leq \ell} |g_\nu(z)|^2 |f_{j_1}(z)|^2 \cdots |f_{j_\nu}(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Introduce the unit $N_{\ell,n}$ -vector

$$\vec{\mathbf{u}}(z) = \frac{1}{\|\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)\|} \vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_\nu \leq \ell} |g_\nu(z)|^2 |f_{j_1}(z)|^2 \cdots |f_{j_\nu}(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} [g_\nu(z) f_{j_1}(z) \cdots f_{j_\nu}(z)]_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_\nu \leq \ell}$$

in the same direction as $\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log |G_n(z)| &= \log |\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}(z) \rangle| = \log |\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \|\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)\| \vec{\mathbf{u}}(z) \rangle| \\ &= \log \|\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)\| + \log |\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}(z) \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Z}(G_n))$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} (\log \|\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)\| + \log |\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}(z) \rangle|) \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_\nu}|^2} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} da_{j_1} \cdots da_{j_\nu} \wedge d\overline{a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_\nu}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\vec{\mathbf{e}}_0$ be the $N_{\ell, n}$ -vector

$$(e_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu})_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \ell, \dots, 1 \leq j_\nu \leq \ell}$$

whose only nonzero component is $e_0 = 1$. Here comes the key point of the whole argument. For fixed z , we integrate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}(z) \rangle| \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_n}|^2} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} da_{j_1} \cdots da_{j_n} \wedge d\overline{a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_n}} \right) \\ &= \int_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}(z) \rangle| \right) \frac{1}{\pi^{N_{\ell, n}}} e^{-\|\vec{\mathbf{a}}\|^2} \\ &= \int_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_0 \rangle| \right) \frac{1}{\pi^{N_{\ell, n}}} e^{-\|\vec{\mathbf{a}}\|^2} \\ &= \int_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |a_0| \right) \frac{1}{\pi^{N_{\ell, n}}} e^{-\|\vec{\mathbf{a}}\|^2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \int_{a_0 \in \mathbb{C}} (\log |a_0|) \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|a_0|^2} \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} A = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad A = \int_{a_0 \in \mathbb{C}} (\log |a_0|) \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|a_0|^2},$$

because A is a constant. Note that the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}(z) \rangle| \right) \frac{1}{\pi^{N_{\ell, n}}} e^{-\|\vec{\mathbf{a}}\|^2} \\ &= \int_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |\langle \vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_0 \rangle| \right) \frac{1}{\pi^{N_{\ell, n}}} e^{-\|\vec{\mathbf{a}}\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

in the above string of equalities comes from the fact that for any fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$ some unitary transformation of $\mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}$ (which may depend on z) maps $\vec{\mathbf{u}}(z)$ to $\vec{\mathbf{e}}_0$ and that $e^{-\|\vec{\mathbf{a}}\|^2}$ is unchanged under any unitary transformation acting on $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$. Thus the limit of $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Z}(G_n))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is equal to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell, n}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \|\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)\| \right) \frac{1}{\pi^{N_{\ell, n}}} e^{-\|\vec{\mathbf{a}}\|^2},$$

which after integration over

$$(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\nu})_{0 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \ell, \dots, 1 \leq j_\nu \leq \ell}$$

is simply equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \|\vec{\mathbf{v}}(z)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k \right) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1) Proposition, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k \right)$$

is equal to the pullback by f of

$$|w| \Xi (|w|) \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |w| + [\delta_{s^{2\ell-1}}] \wedge \frac{1}{|w|^2} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (w_j d\bar{w}_j - \bar{w}_j dw_j),$$

where $w \in \mathbb{C}^\ell = (w_1, \dots, w_\ell)$ is variable in the target space of the map $f = (f_1, \dots, f_\ell) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\ell$. By computation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k \right) \\
& = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \left(\log \sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k - \log \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k \right) \\
& = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\log \sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k - \log \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k \right) \\
& = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k}{\sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^k} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that for any $z \in \Omega$, $A_z, B_z \geq 1$. Granted this, replacing $\kappa_{z,n}$ by $\max(\kappa_{z,0}, \dots, \kappa_{z,n})$, etc., without loss of generality we may assume that the four sequences $\kappa_{z,n}$, $\lambda_{z,n}$, $\xi_{z,n}$ and $\eta_{z,n}$ are non-decreasing sequences. By (iii) for every $z \in \Omega$ there exists a positive constant c_z and a positive integer $J(z)$ such that whenever $j \geq J(z)$ we have

$$A_z^{\xi_{z,j}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{\eta_{z,j}} |g_j(z)| \geq c_z.$$

(Here and in what follows to streamline the notations we will write $A_z^{\xi_{z,j}}$ to mean $(A_z)^{\xi_{z,j}}$, etc.) For every $z \in \Omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{2k} \geq \max(|g_0(z)|^2, |g_n(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{2n}) \\
& \geq \max(|g_0(z)|^2, c_z^2 A_z^{-2\xi_{z,n}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{-2\eta_{z,n}} |f(z)|^{2n}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, when $|f(z)| \leq 1$ we have

$$(\dagger) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{2k} \leq (n+1) B_z^{2\kappa_{z,n}} \cdot 4^{\lambda_{z,n}};$$

and, when $|f(z)| \geq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{2k} \leq (n+1) B_z^{2\kappa_{z,n}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{2\lambda_{z,n}} |f(z)|^{2n},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{2k} & \leq \max \left((n+1) B_z^{2\kappa_{z,n}} \cdot 4^{\lambda_{z,n}}, \right. \\
& \left. (n+1) B_z^{2\kappa_{z,n}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{2\lambda_{z,n}} |f(z)|^{2n} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the function $\left(\sum_{k=0}^n |f(z)|^{2k}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ we have

$$\max(1, |f(z)|^2) \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |f(z)|^{2k}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{n}} \max(1, |f(z)|^2) .$$

Finally, recalling that

$$(h_n(z))^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n |g_k(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{2k}}{\sum_{k=0}^n |f(z)|^{2k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} ,$$

we have, for $z \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\max(|g_0(z)|^2, c_z^2 A_z^{-2\xi_{z,n}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{-2\eta_{z,n}})^{\frac{1}{n}}}{(n+1)^{\frac{1}{n}} \max(1, |f(z)|^2)} \leq (h_n(z))^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ & \leq \frac{\max((n+1)B_z^{2\kappa_{z,n}} \cdot 4^{\lambda_{z,n}}, (n+1)B_z^{2\kappa_{z,n}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{2\lambda_{z,n}} |f(z)|^{2n})^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\max(1, |f(z)|^2)} . \end{aligned}$$

For the lower bound of $(h(z))^{\frac{1}{n}}$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g_0(z)|^{\frac{2}{n}} = 1 ; \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c_z^2 A_z^{-2\xi_{z,n}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{-2\eta_{z,n}})^{\frac{1}{n}} |f(z)|^{2n} \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_z^{\frac{2}{n}} A_z^{-\frac{2\xi_{z,n}}{n}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{-\frac{2\eta_{z,n}}{n}} |f(z)|^2 = |f(z)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumptions $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_{z,n}}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_{z,n}}{n} = 0$. For the upper bound of $(h_n(z))^{\frac{1}{n}}$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((n+1)B_z^{2\kappa_{z,n}} \cdot 4^{\lambda_{z,n}})^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^{\frac{1}{n}} B_z^{\frac{2\kappa_{z,n}}{n}} 4^{\frac{\lambda_{z,n}}{n}} = 1 ; \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((n+1)B_z^{2\kappa_{z,n}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{2\lambda_{z,n}} |f(z)|^{2n})^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^{\frac{1}{n}} B_z^{\frac{2\kappa_{z,n}}{n}} (1 + |f(z)|)^{\frac{2\lambda_{z,n}}{n}} |f(z)|^2 = |f(z)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumptions $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\kappa_{z,n}}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{z,n}}{n} = 0$. Thus, for any $z \in \Omega$ we have

$$1 = \frac{\max(1, |f(z)|^2)}{\max(1, |f(z)|^2)} \leq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(z)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(z) \leq \frac{\max(1, |f(z)|^2)}{\max(1, |f(z)|^2)} = 1 .$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(z)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1 ; \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log(h_n(z)^{\frac{1}{n}}) = 0 .$$

Under the assumptions of the Main Theorem write

$$\varphi_n = \log \left(\sum_{j=0}^n |g_j(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{2j} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} .$$

Then, $\log h_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = \varphi_n - \gamma_n$. Since γ_n converges to $\varphi = \log^+ |f|^2$ by Lemma 1 and $\log h_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$ converges pointwise to 0, we conclude that $\varphi_n(z)$ converges to $\varphi(z)$ for every $z \in \Omega$. Clearly φ_n and φ are continuous subharmonic functions on Ω . Moreover from (†) we have for every $z \in \Omega$

$$\varphi_n(z) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(n+1) + \frac{2\kappa_{z,n}}{n} \log B(z) + \frac{\lambda_{z,n}}{n} \log 4,$$

and by assumption on any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$, B_z and the sequence of functions $\frac{\kappa_{z,n}}{n}$ and $\frac{\lambda_{z,n}}{n}$ are uniformly bounded from above by some constant c_K for $z \in K$, and we conclude that the sequence of subharmonic functions $(\varphi_n(z))_{n=0}^\infty$ are uniformly bounded from above on compact subsets. Finally, we make use of Lemma 2 below on the convergence of positive $(1, 1)$ currents. Granting Lemma 2, the Main Theorem follows readily. $\square$

The discussion below involves distributions on a domain in $\mathbb{C}$. Denote by $d\lambda$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$. Any locally integrable function s on Ω defines a distribution T_s on Ω given by $T_s(\rho) = \int_{\Omega} s \rho \, d\lambda$ for any smooth function ρ on Ω of compact support, and in what follows we will identify s with the distribution T_s it defines. There is a standard process for smoothing distributions, as follows. Let χ be a nonnegative smooth function on $\mathbb{C}$ of support lying on the unit disk Δ such that $\chi(e^{i\theta}z) = \chi(z)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, and for any $\epsilon > 0$ write $\chi_\epsilon(z) = \chi\left(\frac{z}{\epsilon}\right)$. For a distribution Q defined on some domain in $\mathbb{C}$ and for $\epsilon > 0$ we write $Q_\epsilon := Q * \chi_\epsilon$ wherever the convolution is defined. We have the following elementary lemma on positive currents associated to subharmonic functions, for which a proof is included below for easy reference.

Lemma 2 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a plane domain. Suppose $(\varphi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of subharmonic functions on Ω such that $\varphi_n(z)$ are uniformly bounded from above on each compact subset K of Ω . Assume that φ_n converges pointwise to some continuous (subharmonic) function φ . Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi$ in $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$. As a consequence, $\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_n$ converges to $\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$ in the sense of currents.*

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $D = \Delta(a; r)$ be any disk centred at $a \in \Omega$ of radius $r > 0$ such that $\overline{D} \subset \Omega$. We claim that the Lebesgue integrals $\int_{\Delta(a; r)} |\varphi_n| d\lambda$ are bounded independent of n . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\varphi \leq 0$ on $\overline{D}$. By the sub-mean-value inequality for subharmonic functions we have

$$\varphi_n(a) \leq \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Delta(a; r)} \varphi_n(\zeta) d\xi d\eta$$

where $\zeta = \xi + \sqrt{-1}\eta$ is the Euclidean coordinate of the variable of integration ζ , showing that the integral of $-\varphi_n$ over $\Delta(a; r)$ are bounded independent of n . Covering Ω by a countable and locally finite family of relatively compact open disks D , it follows that on any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ the L^1 -norms of $\varphi_n|_K$ are bounded independent of n . As a consequence, given any subsequence $\varphi_{\sigma(n)}$ of φ_n , some subsequence $\psi_n := \varphi_{\sigma(\tau(n))}$ of $\varphi_{\sigma(n)}$ must converge to a distribution S on Ω . We claim that any such a limit must be given by the (continuous) subharmonic function φ . As a consequence, φ_n converges to φ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$.

Since ψ_n converges to the distribution S , for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\varphi_{n, \epsilon}$ converges to the smooth function S_ϵ as n tends to ∞ . Since ψ_n is subharmonic, $\psi_{n, \epsilon}$ is monotonically decreasing as $\epsilon \mapsto 0$ for each nonnegative integer n , and it follows readily that S_ϵ is also monotonically decreasing as $\epsilon \mapsto 0$. Hence, S is the limit as a distribution of the smooth functions S_ϵ . Writing $\psi(z) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S_\epsilon(z)$, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem the distribution S is nothing other than the function ψ , which is in particular locally integrable. Since ψ_n converges to S as distributions, we conclude that $\varphi_{\sigma(n)} = \psi_n$ converges to ψ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, implying that ψ_n converges pointwise to ψ almost everywhere on D . However, by assumption $\psi_n = \varphi_{\sigma(n)}$ converges pointwise to φ , hence φ and ψ must agree almost everywhere on Ω . In particular, φ_n must converge to φ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. The proof of Lemma 2 is complete. $\square$

3 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professors Yum-Tong Siu and Ngaiming Mok for discussions and suggestions concerning this article.

4 References

[DSZ-2004] Michael Douglas, Bernard Shiffman, and Steve Zelditch, Critical points and supersymmetric vacua. I. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 252 (2004), no. 1-3, 325–358.

- [DSZ-2006a] Michael R. Douglas, Bernard Shiffman, and Steve Zelditch, Critical points and supersymmetric vacua. II. Asymptotic and extremal metrics. *J. Differential Geom.* 72 (2006), no. 3, 381–427.
- [DSZ-2006b] Michael Douglas, Bernard Shiffman, and Steve Zelditch, Critical points and supersymmetric vacua. III. String/M models. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 265 (2006), no. 3, 617–671.
- [H] J M. Hammersley, The zeros of a random polynomial. In: Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 1954C1955, vol. II, 89C111, Berkeley-Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1956
- [SV] L.A. Shepp and R.J. Vanderbei, The complex zeros of random polynomials. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 4365–4384.
- [SZ-1999] Bernard Shiffman and Steve Zelditch, Distribution of zeros of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 200 (1999), no. 3, 661–683.
- [Y-2008] W. Yao, Distribution of Normalized Zero-Sets of Random Entire Functions, arxiv.org/abs/0811.3365.